|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ANNUAL MENTOR'S REPORT** | |
| **MENTOR** | |
| Mentor | |
| Title, name and surname | Institution, country |
|  |  |
| Co-mentor | |
| Title, name and surname | Institution, country |
|  |  |
| Name and surname of the student | |
|  | |
| Student ID number | |
|  | |
| Reporting period/academic year | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROGRESS IN STUDIES** | |
| Has a work plan been developed and is the student making progress according to that plan?  (please tick the box) | |
| Made a work plan | yes  no |
| Made progress towards the work plan | yes  no  not applicable |
| If you selected "no" in the previous question, explain why and suggest possible improvements. | |
|  | |
| On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the quality of the student’s research work progress at the study.  (since last report) | |
| 1 - insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 - very good  5 - excellent | |
| If you selected 1 or 2 in the previous question, explain and suggest possible improvements. | |
|  | |
| Comment on the student's progress since the last report | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **STUDENT EVALUATION** | |
| Please rate on a scale from 1 to 5:  (1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent) | |
| Student's readiness for consultations | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Planning and execution of annual research activities and professional development | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Progress in mastering scientific research methodology | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Writing and publishing scientific papers | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Doctoral student's attitude towards their studies in general | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| On a scale from 1 to 5, rate the overall quality of the students’ work, or, if applicable, doctoral thesis. | |
| 1 – insufficient  2 - sufficient  3 - good  4 - very good  5 - excellent | |
| If you selected "2" in the previous question, explain why and suggest possible improvements.  If the overall quality of the doctoral student's thesis is rated as insufficient (1), the Expert Council of their study programme holder will decide upon intensified monitoring or a decision on unsuccessful completion of their studies. | |
|  | |
| Comment on the overall quality of the student's doctoral thesis | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **OPINION ON THE CAPACITY OF THE CANDIDATE FOR CONTINUATION OF STUDIES** | |
| Can the student continue their studies? | Yes.  Yes, subject to certain conditions.  No. |
| If you selected an answer other than 'yes', please explain. | |
|  | |
| Other notes and opinions of the mentor (if necessary) | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Place, date, signature** | |
| In Rijeka, | Signature  (name and surname of the mentor) |
| Signature  (name and surname of the co-mentor) |